ू () च = 3	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/076,515	GOMEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Henry R. Jiles</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 December 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  Prior Art Rejections as stated in the Office action dated 6-27-03		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims and to cancel claims 3, 7, and 8, and nonelected claims 11-15, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 3 line 28-41, and page 14 line 39 through page 16 line 10 of the instant specification.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicants Representative Sign	griature – ii appropriate)